Mycareerpath Survey Questions

Intro

As the Engineering Council develops its next strategic plan, there is a focus on how best to manage the mycareerpath Professional Development System, the licence arrangements, and the licence fee.

To date, the flat licence fee model has enabled maintenance, support and training on the system, as well as modest expansions in functionality. This is unlikely to continue, and a new or adapted funding model would be required to continue development and expansion of the system.

This survey offers mycareerpath client institutions the chance to contribute to this discussion and we would very much welcome your views on next steps.

1. How well does the current mycareerpath system meet the institution's and its members' needs, with regard to planning, recording, and reporting CPD?

2. How likely is the institution to maintain its mycareerpath licence over the next 3-5 years, based on a strategy of maintenance and minimal or client-funded development projects?

3. To what degree would an increase in the licence fee (i.e. beyond an inflationary rise and, for example, designed to enable future expansions in functionality) continue to represent value for the client institution?

4. If the fee structure of the annual mycareerpath licence was to be reviewed with client institutions, which alternative funding model would best suit the institution over the next 3-5 years?
    a. An increase in the flat licence fee (e.g. doubled to £2,000 per annum).
    b. Modular licence fees (e.g. paying an additional fee for use of the CPD auditing module).
    c. Per-user licence fees (clients paying a fee for each member holding an account on the system).
    d. Development project funding (clients funding, singly or jointly, individual expansions in system functionality).
    e. Outsourcing of mycareerpath maintenance and management to a third party
    f. Another option (please specify).

5. What would your institution consider its highest priority for development of the system over the next 3-5 years? Would the institution be prepared to invest directly in the development work, singly or jointly with others?

6. Any other comments.